



BMS LECTURE SUPPORT SKIDES



Lenka Zdeborová (IPhT CEA Saclay)

BOTTOM LINE

$$\Phi(M) = \mathbb{E}_{x,w} \left[\log \mathcal{Z} \left(\frac{M}{\Delta}, \frac{M}{\Delta} x + \sqrt{\frac{M}{\Delta}} w \right) \right] - \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(MM^{\top})}{4\Delta}$$

- AMP-MSE given by the local maximum of the free energy reached gradient descent starting from small M/large MSE.
- MMSE is given by the global maximum of the free energy.



 $MMSE = Tr[\mathbb{E}_x(xx^{\top}) - \operatorname{argmax} \Phi(M)]$ $MSE_{AMP} = Tr[\mathbb{E}_x(xx^{\top}) - M_{AMP}]$

ZOOLOGY OF FIXED POINTS

- Zero mean prior: $\mathbb{E}_X(x) = 0$
 - ▶ SE has always a "trivial" fixed point M=0.
 - ▶ Stability of the trivial fixed point: M^{t+1} = ∑M^t∑ ∆ M^{t+1}_(r=1) = [E_X(x²)]² ∆ M^t

 ▶ This is the same as the spectral phase transition (BBP transition, known in physics since Edwards'68)
- Non-zero mean priors: $\mathbb{E}_X(x) \neq 0$
 - MMSE always better than random guessing (unlike for spectral methods).
 - Multiple fixed point may still exist.

Fixed points:



 $\rho = 0.2$

 $\rho = 0.01$

 $P_X(x_i) = (1 - \rho)\delta(x_i) + \rho\delta(x_i - 1)$

Fixed points:



 $P_X(x_i) = (1 - \rho)\delta(x_i) + \rho\delta(x_i - 1)$

ALGORITHMIC INTERPRETATION

- Easy by message passing algorithms. Proof: Javanmard, Montanari'12.
- Impossible information theoretically. Barbier, Dia, Macris, Krzakala, Lesieur, LZ'16 using Guerra interpolation, AMP and spatial coupling. Different proof by Lelarge, Miolane'16.
- Hard phase conjecture: No polynomial algorithm works.
 Physically sensible.
 Mathematically wide open.



Phase Diagram:



$$\Delta_{\rm Dyn}(\rho) \sim_{\rho \to 0} \frac{-\rho}{2\log(\rho)}$$
$$\Delta_{\rm IT}(\rho) \sim_{\rho \to 0} \frac{-\rho}{4\log(\rho)}$$

 $P_X(x_i) = (1 - \rho)\delta(x_i) + \rho\delta(x_i - 1)$



 $P_X(x_i) = \frac{\rho}{2} \left[\delta(x_i - 1) + \delta(x_i + 1) \right] + (1 - \rho)\delta(x_i)$

Phase Diagram:



$$P_X(x_i) = \frac{\rho}{2} \left[\delta(x_i - 1) + \delta(x_i + 1) \right] + (1 - \rho)\delta(x_i)$$

Stochastic block model, r groups

$$P_{\text{out}}(Y_{ij} = 1 | \frac{x_i^T x_j}{\sqrt{N}}) = p_{\text{out}} + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{N}} x_i^T x_j \qquad \Delta = \frac{p_{\text{out}}(1 - p_{\text{out}})}{\mu^2}$$



r>4 hard phase exists. r<4 hard phase does not exist.

2 groups, different sizes, same average degree

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_{\text{out}} & p_{\text{out}} \\ p_{\text{out}} & p_{\text{out}} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{N}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1-\rho}{\rho} & -1 \\ -1 & \frac{\rho}{1-\rho} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \Delta = \frac{p_{\text{out}}(1-p_{\text{out}})}{\mu^2}$$

$$P_X(x) = \rho \delta \left(x - \sqrt{\frac{1-\rho}{\rho}} \right) + (1-\rho) \delta \left(x + \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{1-\rho}} \right)$$



small
$$\rho$$

 $k_{\text{Alg}} = \sqrt{N} \sqrt{\frac{p_{\text{out}}}{1 - p_{\text{out}}}},$
 $k_{\text{IT}} = \log(N) \frac{4p_{\text{out}}}{1 - p_{\text{out}}}.$

As in balanced planted clique.

GAUSSIAN MIXTURE R=2 CLUSTERS

N points, M dimensions, distance between clusters ρ

Phase transition:

$$\rho_c = \frac{r}{\sqrt{\alpha}}$$

AMP is Bayes-optimal for R=2, and only very slightly better than PCA.

$$\alpha = \frac{N}{M} = 2$$



GAUSSIAN MIXTURE R=20 CLUSTERS

PCA and AMP estimate better than random guessing for: $\rho_c =$

Discontinuous phase transition implies a gap between statistical and computational performance.

Performance of AMP is considerably better than PCA.



GAUSSIAN MIXTURE GENERAL # OF CLUSTERS

- Computational gap exists for: $r > 4 + 2\sqrt{\alpha}$
- Hard phase:





LECTURE BASED ON

- Krzakala, LZ, *Statistical Physics of Inference: Thresholds and Algorithms*, Advances of Physics'16
- Decelle, Krzakala, Moore, LZ, Asymptotic analysis of the stochastic block model for modular networks and its algorithmic applications, Phys. Rev. E'11
- Krzakala, Moore, Mossel, Neeman, Sly, LZ, Zhang, *Spectral redemption in clustering sparse networks*, PNAS'13
- Saade, Lelarge, Krzakala, LZ, Spectral detection in the censored block model, ISIT'15
- Lesieur, Krzakala, LZ, *MMSE of probabilistic low-rank matrix estimation: Universality with respect to the output channel*, Allerton'15.
- Lesieur, Krzakala, LZ, Constrained Low-rank Matrix Estimation: Phase Transitions, Approximate Message Passing and Applications, J. Stat. Mech.'17
- Krzakala, Xu, LZ, Mutual information in rank-one matrix estimation, ITW'16
- Barbier, Dia, Macris, Krzakala, Lesieur, LZ *Mutual information for symmetric rank-one matrix estimation: A proof of the replica formula*, NIPS'16
- Lesieur, Miolane, Lelarge, Krzakala, LZ, *Statistical and computational phase transitions in spiked tensor estimation*, ISIT'17