

Evaluation BMS Summer School 2010

I. OUTCOME

Rating

1. The Summer School broadened my understanding of concepts and principles in the field of Discretization.	
2. The Summer School improved my ability to carry out original research in the field of Discretization.	
3. The Summer School taught me techniques directly applicable to my career.	
4. The material presented in the Summer School was relevant to my research.	

1: excellent, strongly agree
5: deficient, strongly disagree
n/a: not applicable

II. LECTURES

1.The instructors' knowledge of the subjects was good.	
2.The instructors explained the material well.	
3.The instructors provided real-world examples.	
4.The instructors were prepared for the lectures.	
5.The lectures were coordinated between instructors.	
6.Lectures incorporated recent developments in the field.	
7.The range of lectures captured the overall essentials of the field.	
8.The level of the lectures was appropriate.	
9.The underlying rationale of the techniques presented was clear.	
10.We were exposed to a well representative range of techniques.	
11.The instructors stimulated my intellectual curiosity.	

III. Individual Lectures

Discrete Riemann surfaces I	
Applications to computer graphics and computational mechanics	
Numerics for dynamical and control systems	
Discrete 1-forms and covering surfaces	
Morse theory for piecewise linear manifolds	
Discrete Riemann surfaces II	
Smooth and discrete curvatures	
Discrete Lagrangian dynamics	
Integrable meshes and applications	
Differential operators on polyhedral surfaces	
Delaunay polytopes and Delaunay meshes	

IV. COMMUNICATION & DISSEMINATION

1.Instructors were readily available for Q&A outside the lecture periods.	
2.The Summer School web site was informative before the school started.	
3.The Summer School web site was informative during the school period.	
4.The online information was up-to-date.	
5.The Summer School facilitated interactions among students.	
6.The Summer School facilitated interactions between students and School faculty/staff.	

V. GENERAL ORGANIZATION

1.The number of participants was reasonable.	
3.There were enough support staff to help the participants.	
4.The dinner enhanced the Summer School experience.	
5.The Summer School addressed my research needs.	
6.Number and lengths of lectures	
7.Number and lengths of coffee breaks	
8.Satisfaction with accommodation (if organized by BMS)	
9.Overall, the Summer School met my expectations.	

VI. OVERALL SATISFACTION

1.Overall technical support was good.	
2.Overall general support was good.	
3.The Summer School was well organized.	
4.The Summer School addressed my research needs.	
5.Overall, the Summer School met my expectations.	

VII. COMMENTS

1.What suggestions do you have for improving the summer school?	
2.What suggestions do you have for similar workshops?	
3.What did you particularly like?	
What didn't you like and want us to improve for future summer schools?	

Any other comments you would like to share with us: